

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,993		03/23/2001	Kirk Tecu	10010017-1	7620
22879	7590	06/16/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLET	T PACK	ARD COMPANY	RIMELL, S	RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
		404 E. HARMONY RO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ROPERTY ADMINIS	ARTOM	THE ENTONIDER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				2175	13
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commonwe	09/816,993	TECU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sam Rimell	2175				
* The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-13, 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 1-13, 20 is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction of the original transfer and the correction of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
		SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/816,993

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Preliminary Note:</u> Appellant's Appeal Brief has been received and fully considered. In view of the evidence submitted with the appeal brief including the terminology definitions provided, examiner has withdrawn the previously applied prior art rejection.

The claims presently under examination are those submitted by applicant with the response of December 29, 2003, which was approved for entry by the examiner's advisory action of January 7, 2004. Applicant's response of December 29, 2003 cancelled claims 14-19. Accordingly, claims 1-13 and 20 are pending.

This office action includes new grounds of rejection and is accordingly made non-final.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10, 12, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lappenbusch et al. (U.S. Patent 6,297,748).

Claim 1: FIG. 2 illustrates clients (42) and servers (38) communicating data via a network (the Internet). As seen in FIG. 8, the client is presented with a user interface (60) that permits input of data to the server. In the user interface of FIG. 8, the client is initially presented without beginning end points or a highlighted route. The client inserts position data (begin point 83 and end point 84) on the image of the map and forwards this annotated map to the appropriate server. The server then returns various forms of content data, such as a highlighted route

Application/Control Number: 09/816,993

Art Unit: 2175

between the beginning and end points and the additional data in the boxes (62) and (84). The image of the map ends up being further annotated by the highlighted route.

<u>Claim 2:</u> Col. 2, lines 5-12 identify location names, such as Seattle or Houston that can be used in a URL. The URL is the then used to query data from an Internet server to produce one of the maps, as illustrated in FIGS. 4-8. The items in boxes 64 and 82 constitute content data that is returned to the client.

Claim 3: Entering the position data (the beginning and ending points in FIG. 8) effects a query of the data contained in the relevant server. Some of the data retrieved includes location names ("Pike" in box 64). Traffic incidents can also be reported which can include location of an incident (col. 8, lines 12-18).

<u>Claim 4:</u> As seen in FIG. 8, the retrieved content data includes text.

<u>Claim 5:</u> As seen in FIG. 4, a user can send chronological data to the server (a request for commute time—col. 6, lines 34-40). The saved data within the appropriate server is then queried to receive further content data (commuting time) that is then displayed to the user.

<u>Claim 6:</u> FIG. 8 illustrates annotated images (an annotated map) which are provided to the client computer.

<u>Claim 7:</u> The data is provided to the client computer using a browser program (Microsoft Internet Explorer—col. 5, lines 12-13) that inherently produces web pages.

<u>Claim 8:</u> The position data are the specifications of beginning and ending points (83, 84) on a map of a city. All points on a geographical map are inherently associated with longitude and latitude coordinates.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,993

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Claim 9:</u> Once an annotated map image is displayed to a user (FIG. 8 map annotated with a preferred route) it is stored on the client's display until changed by new commands from the user. In addition, since the client system is a computer, the display can inherently be saved in the client system's memory capability.

<u>Claim 10:</u> The client can access annotated images, such as the image (64) in FIG. 8. The "conditions" are the specifications input by the user, such as the starting and ending point of a trip or specifying a particular leg of the map.

Claim 12: See remarks for claims 1 and 3.

Claim 13: FIG. 2 illustrates a series of dynamic libraries (41). Each library is considered to be a database containing retrievable data. Since each library includes both location data and content, one of the libraries can designated a location database and another library can be designated as content database. The Internet includes intermediate servers between the client and the libraries (col. 3, lines 54-57). As seen in FIG. 8, the client sends to the server position data (beginning and ending points 83, 84) that are annotated on an image (a map). Content data is retrieved, such as the highlighted trip segment. The highlighted trip segment on the map constitutes a map annotated by the highlighted trip segment.

<u>Claim 20</u>: See remarks for claims 1 and 2. Note that the annotated image (a map image annotated with a preferred route) can be stored in the sense that it remains on the client's display until changed. Additionally, the annotated image can be considered as stored in the sense that the annotated image can inherently be placed in the client system's memory.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2175

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lappenbusch et al. (U.S. Patent 6,297, 798) in view of Official Notice.

Claim 11: Lappenbusch differs in that it does not disclose the step of printing the annotated image. Examiner takes Official Notice that printing a displayed image on a computer is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lappenbusch to print the annotated image so as to make the image portable. In other words, the printed image can be taken by the user on the designated road trip to provide instructions on a route to follow during the road trip.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2175